

WENHASTON WITH MELLS HAMLET.

NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN CO-OPTED SUB GROUP.

Minutes of the Neighbourhood Plan Co-Opted Group meeting 3 held at 2.00 pm at Copperfield on Tuesday 21th July.

- 1) Sub group Attendees: J. Claxton (JCl), R. Claxton (RCI), P. Corbett (PCo), D. Corbett (DCo), B. Norrington (BNo), L. Spindler (LSp). Apologies from R. Day (RDa).

- 1) Minutes of meeting May 19th 2015 approved without amendment.

- 2) There were no matters arising from minutes not on the agenda

- 3) Updates.

- a)and b) DCo reported that there were 115 stamps used or returned. The final number of collated returns was 196, with some containing more than one set of results. The tally of results has been completed and circulated as has the lists of comments that were added to the questionnaires. Agree that PCo will convert all tallies to a percentage of respondents answering each sub question. The total number of papers with added comments was 130 and the distribution of those comments by sub question is as table below. Only 4 respondents commented on every question and 8 of the back page comments were 'thank you' etc. Average number of comments for these questionnaires was 3.75.

S.Q.	2a	2b	3a	3b	3c	4a	4b	5	6	Back
No	50	67	54	63	36	21	59	43	49	46
%	25,5	34	27,5	32	18,5	20,5	30	22	25	23,5

Table of comments per sub question
With % of total respondents

There were 11 sets of comments by under 18's and these will form part of the later analysis. Business, interested bodies and larger village group returns were briefly discussed and will be used along with the public results in due course. Although some findings were numerically significant, it was agreed that we would confirm this by analysing both numerical data and written comments together. This will be undertaken on a date in August to be agreed with an independent observer present who will be paid at an appropriate rate.

- c) Web site is operating very successfully with a good number of hits since July 1st and a high return rate. There was a peak on The Warbler publication day and a tendency for returns every other day. Agreed that email addresses that had been submitted would be logged for use as and when appropriate.

Action M3/1

- d)and Item 7 together. BNo has undertaken a good deal of research and consulting work and brought maps of environs of Wenhaston to highlight the implications of producing a neighbourhood Plan. These indicated areas of agricultural.

P. Corbett

conservation and historic interest as well as listed buildings and tree preservation orders and will be part of the process that will guide the draft plan after the Vision and Objectives have been confirmed. BNo felt that we might need to commission a consultant at this stage to examine and interpret landscape and townscape value.

- 5) Agreed that provisional Vision Statements and Objectives will be formulated from the August analysis day and discussions with SCDC and these will be tested and ratified by the next round of public consultation.
- 6) Provisional calendar for the next steps was agreed as follows:
 - a) Convert sub question scores to percentages – July 2015
 - b) Analyse these percentages with relevant comments in presence of independent observer to gain an overall perspective – August 2015
 - c) Meet with Hilary Hanslip (HH), (SCDC) to discuss findings and next stage – Early September 2015.
 - d) Next meeting of this sub-group - September 2015 to be arranged.
 - e) Update Parish Council with progress to date – October 2015 PC meeting.
 - f) Public Consultation – November 1st 2015 (but because of repayment of unused grant we may want to consider October 31st 2015
- 7) As 4d above.
- 8) Date of next meeting. TBA September 2015
- 9) AOB

Content of item for Warbler agreed.

10) Actions Summary

M3/1	Agreed that email addresses that had been submitted would be logged for use as and when appropriate	JCI
------	---	-----

Footnote from P. Corbett

I have added percentages to response sheet where appropriate (some of 2b and Q6 not appropriate).

I have reviewed response rates from research statistics and they vary widely. From a simple postal survey to strangers you should expect from 2 to 20% responses, clinical questionnaires to professionals expect 50 to 60% response, 7 page (called long) research questionnaires 42%. I think that our response rate can be regarded as statistically significant from a quantitative point of view.

I have also approximately interpolated population demographics from the 2011 census and added them to the table at the bottom of the questionnaire response sheet reproduced below. By

P Corbett

comparing the questionnaire demographic with the 'actual' demographic then we can see that we have a fairly representative sample, if slightly oversubscribed with retirees.

Two generations with under 18's	Two generations all adults	Single generation Working	Single generation retired	Other Please state.		
23 12%	23 12%	41 21%	99 51%	8 4%	Approx 2011 census interpolated	
13%	14%	25%	43%	5%		

P. Corbett.